RESEARCH ARTICLE



Nobloedischia rasnitsyni, a new genus and species of Oedischiidae (Orthoptera) from the Lower Permian Wellington Formation of Oklahoma, USA

Roy J. Beckemeyer

Division of Entomology (Paleoentomology), Natural History Museum, and Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, 1501 Crestline Drive – Suite 140, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66049-2811 USA; Correspondence address: 957 Perry Ave., Wichita, KS 67203-3141, USA

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:1B027FF1-01D4-4A3C-8A06-353E47CA6A9C

Corresponding author: Roy J. Beckemeyer (royjbeckemeyer@cox.net)

Academic editor: D. Shcherbakov | Received 30 March 2011 | Accepted 4 July 2011 | Published 24 September 2011

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:CA3223D4-2DA5-4E62-AB02-06205324D72D

Citation: Beckemeyer RJ (2011) *Nobloedischia rasnitsyni*, a new genus and species of Oedischiidae (Orthoptera) from the Lower Permian Wellington Formation of Oklahoma, USA. In: Shcherbakov DE, Engel MS, Sharkey MJ (Eds) Advances in the Systematics of Fossil and Modern Insects: Honouring Alexandr Rasnitsyn. ZooKeys 130: 103–110. doi: 10.3897/zooKeys.130.1327

Abstract

Nobloedischia rasnitsyni gen. et sp. n. (Oedischiidae) is described from the Lower Permian Wellington Formation of Noble County, Oklahoma. The genus is similar to both *Petrelcana* (Oedischiidae: Mezenoedischiinae) and *Oedischia* (Oedischiidae: Oedischiinae) and is left unplaced at the subfamily level. The new species is the twelfth Orthoptera species and the fourth species of Oedischiidae from these deposits.

Keywords

Nobloedischia, fossil insect, Noble County, paleoentomology

Introduction

The Oedischiidae are considered to be the most basal family of orthopterans; they occurred from the Late Carboniferous through the Late Permian (Sharov 1971; Goro-

chov 1987, 1995; Gorochov and Rasnitsyn 2002). For the most part, Oedischiidae are known only be their forewings; in the Carboniferous (Commentry, France) *Oedischia* Brongniart, 1885, the hind legs were saltatorial (Sharov 1971), and in the Lower Permian *Uraloedischia* Sharov, 1968 (Urals, Russia), prehensile spines on the legs and pointed denticles on the mandibles suggested oedischiids were predacious (Sharov 1971; Gorochov and Rasnitsyn 2002). The wing form and venation suggest they were active fliers (Sharov 1971). Besides *Oedischia*, a second Carboniferous genus, *Sinoedischia* Hong, 1985, is known from the Shanxi of China (Gorochov and Rasnitsyn 2002; Meng et al. 2006).

Oedischiids became more diverse in the Permian; known genera include Afroedischia Geertsema & van Dijk, 1999 (Middle–Upper Permian, South Africa. The Laingsburg Formation was dated as Lower Permian by Geertsema and van Dijk 1999, but is now dated as Middle–Upper Permian by Fildani et al. 2009.), *Iasvia* Zalessky, 1934 (Lower Permian, Russia, and Middle Permian, France; Béthoux et al. 2002), *Macroedischia* Sharov, 1968, *Tettoedischia* Sharov, 1968, and *Uraloedischia* Sharov, 1968 from the Lower Permian (Kungurian) of Russia, *Metoedischia* Martynov, 1928, *Elcanoedischia* Gorochov, 1987, and *Mezenoedischia* Gorochov, 1987 from the Middle Permian (Kazanian) of Russia, and, from the Lower Permian Wellington Formation of the United States, in subfamily Elcanoedischiinae Gorochov, 1987: *Kansasoedischia* Gorochov, 1987 (Kansas), and in Mezenoedischiinae Gorochov, 1987: *Petrelcana* Carpenter, 1966 (Kansas), and *Pseudoiasvia* Bethoux & Beckemeyer, 2007 (Oklahoma).

Of the more than 200 species of insects known from the Lower Permian Wellington Formation of Kansas and Oklahoma, USA (Beckemeyer and Hall 2007), eleven are in the order Orthoptera: eight from the Elmo, Kansas deposits (Tillyard 1932; 1937; Carpenter 1943, 1966; Gorochov 1987), and three from the Midco, Oklahoma (Noble County) formations. Four of the eleven species, one from Kansas and three from Oklahoma, were described only recently (Béthoux and Beckemeyer 2007; Beckemeyer 2011). The new taxon described here constitutes the tenth genus and twelfth species of Wellington Formation Orthoptera (see Beckemeyer 2011 for a list of previously described species). It seems most closely related to *Oedischia* and *Petrelcana*.

Materials and methods

This description is based on a forewing specimen (Figs 1, 2) collected by Don Arnold and Rick Grantham of Oklahoma State University (OSU). The holotype is in the K. C. Emerson Museum, Department of Plant Pathology and Entomology, OSU. The specimen comprises part and counterpart of a nearly complete wing, absent approximately the basal 20% of the wing length (including the precostal area), the anal field, and the posterior margin of the cubital field; cross veins are poorly preserved in the distal radial and medial fields.

Photomicrographs of the part and counterpart were made using a Nikon 990 digital camera with an American Optical dissecting microscope and an external strobe flash (Nikon SB-26). Flash orientation was optimized to show details of interest. The photographed images were processed using Adobe Photoshop 6.0 and imported into a vector-graphics software program (XARA Extreme 4.0, XARA Group, Ltd., London), where they were assembled into composite images. The venation reconstruction drawing was made as an overlay (Fig. 3).

Venation interpretation and notation follow the system of Béthoux and Nel (2001, 2002). Since that system is relatively new, not uniformly accepted, and not in as wide use as the terminology of Sharov (1971), Gorochov (1987, 1995), Carpenter (1992), and Gorochov and Rasnitsyn (2002), I list here the relationship between the most common notation systems:

Béthoux and Nel	Sharov/ Gorochov	Carpenter	Gorochov and Rasnitsyn
ScP	Sc	SC	SC
RA	RA	R	R ₁
RP	RS	RS	RŚ
MA1	1MA ₁	MA1	MA
MA2	2MA	MA2	MA ₂
MP	MA ₂	MP	MP
CuA+CuPaα	MP+CuA ₁	CuA	$M_5 + CuA_1$
CuPaβ	CuA ₂	CuA	CuA ₂
CuPb	CuP	CuP	CuP

Systematic paleontology

Order Orthoptera Olivier, 1789 Family Oedischiidae Handlirsch, 1906

Nobloedischia Beckemeyer, gen. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:5B75F078-99C6-457E-AFB6-CBE9364C2328 http://species-id.net/wiki/Nobloedischia

Composition. Type species Nobloedischia rasnitsyni Beckemeyer, sp. n.

Etymology. A combination of Noble County and the family name Oedischiidae. **Diagnosis.** *Nobloedischia* is differentiated from *Oedischia* by branches of RA directed distally, first branching of RA at level of fusion of MA1 and RP; fusion of RP and MA1 (the anterior branch of MA) long rather than limited to a point of contact; field between MP and CuA+CuPaα narrower than area between MP and MA/MA2 at least in basal 2/3 of length; CuA+CuPaα and its branches reach posterior wing margin obliquely.

Differs from *Petrelcana* by width of costal and subcostal fields at level of branching of M about equal rather than costal field much wider than subcostal field; cross veins between RA and RP without secondary cross veins; branching of MA moderately remote from anastamosis with RP (as in Gorochov 1995, Fig. 142) rather than MA branching close to fusion with RP, stem of MA1 before fusion an obvious branch of the longitudinal vein rather than this segment of MA1 very short and appearing as a thickened cross vein between MA/MA2 and RP+MA1 (as in Gorochov 1995, Fig. 144; stems of CuA and CuPa α about equal rather than stem of CuA very short.

Nobloedischia rasnitsyni Beckemeyer, sp. n. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:01F11D63-3DBD-4F2E-9F39-C0B499755E97 http://species-id.net/wiki/Nobloedischia_rasnitsyni Figs 1–3

Type locality. Noble County, Oklahoma, USA; Wellington Formation, Artinskian, Lower Permian.

Type material. Holotype: Oklahoma State Museum Fossil Insect Specimen No. 826a, part (Fig. 1) and 826b, counterpart (Fig. 2), comprising the only Orthoptera fossil on slabs densely covered with multiple insect wing fragments of varied orders and with Conchostraca fossils as well. The slab containing 826a is approximately 12 by 21 cm and contains a total of 88 insect specimens (818a through 905a); the second slab (8 by 17 cm) contains 78 insect specimens (818b through 896b).

Etymology. The specific epithet, *rasnitsyni*, is an honorific for eminent scientist Dr. Alexandr P. Rasnitsyn in recognition of his long and productive career, and his invaluable and varied contributions to paleoentomology.

Diagnosis. As for genus.

Description. Forewing. Preserved length 32 mm, estimated length 39 mm (basal 20%, including precostal area, missing); width 7 mm. ScP terminates at 78% wing length, cross veins simple, moderately spaced, and oriented normal to costal margin and ScP, maximum width of costal field 1.4 mm, maximum width of subcostal field 1.0 mm, ratio width of subcostal to width of costal field 1.4:1. RA sigmoidal in form, bending anteriorly at level of separation of Cu and M (35% of wing length), bending posteriorly at level of fusion of MA and RP, where RA branches; RA branching anteriorly pectinate, six branches reaching wing margin, basal branches intersecting margin obliquely, distal branches nearly longitudinal in orientation; posterior-most branch of RA terminating at 95% wing length; cross veins between ScP and RA similar in form and spacing to those between ScP and costal margin. RP origin at 51% wing length; RP fusion with MA1 at 62% of wing length, length of fusion 0.9 mm; RP with four posteriorly pectinate branches, simple or distally twigged, first branch at 72% wing length, anterior-most branch straight and terminating near apex of wing at 98% wing length; cross veins between RA and RP with veins simple, some bowed with center of curvature basal. M+CuA branches at 35%, M branches at 37%, length of M from separation from M+CuA to branch 0.9 mm, MA branches at 59%, MA2 branches at 69% of wing length each branch with terminal twig, MA1 apparently simple, terminating at 89%, free length of MA1 before fusion with RP 1.0 mm. MP distally twigged, terminating on posterior margin at 74% and 77% wing length. CuA+CuPaa



Figures 1–3. *Nobloedischia rasnitsyni* gen. et sp. n. **1.** Holotype specimen, part, OSU826a. Forewing in dorsal aspect **2.** Holotype specimen, counterpart, OSU826b. Forewing in ventral aspect **3.** Venation reconstruction and notation following Béthoux and Nel 2002. Photographs and drawing to same scale; scale bar 5 mm.

with four branches; the basal branches of CuA+CuPa α intersect the posterior margin obliquely, with the angle between the branches and the wing margin increasing distally (angles of intersection are 12°, 13°, 23°, and 32°, for the successive branches); CuPa branches at 34% of the wing length, free length of CuPa α before fusion with CuA 1.1 mm, length of CuA from separation from M+CuA to fusion with CuPa α 0.9 mm, CuPa β straight as preserved, cross veins between CuPa β and CuA+CuPa α normal to the longitudinal veins, becoming oblique and oriented longitudinally after the first branching of CuA+CuPa α ; short segment of CuPb that is preserved (extending from 20% to 35% of wing length) is straight; anal veins not preserved.

Discussion

The combination of character states of N. rasnitsyni make placement in one of Gorochov's subfamilies somewhat problematic; it would perhaps come closest to fitting in Oedischiinae. Nobloedischia shares with Oedischia the characters: origin of anterior branch of MA moderately remote from its anastamosis with RP (as in Gorochov 1995: Fig. 142); distance from branching of M+CuA to branching of M subequal to distance from branching of M+CuA to fusion of CuA and CuPaa, and greater than length of cross veins between CuA+CuPaa and MP; ratio of width of costal field (anterior margin-ScP) to width of subcostal field (ScP-RA) less than 1.5; field between RA and RP with some cross veins bowed and/or sigmoidal rather than straight; CuPaa medium sized basal of its fusion with CuA (last three characters were used by Béthoux and Nel 2002, to distinguish the clade (Gerarus bruesi Meunier, 1909 + O. williamsoni)). On the other hand, Nobloedischia is differentiated from Oedischia by the characters listed in the Diagnosis (vide supra). Béthoux and Nel (2002) used a total of 74 characters in their cladistic phylogenetic analysis of the Orthoptera; I have not repeated that analysis, but did code the characters and found that of the 61 character states that could be compared (the remaining characters were not preserved in available specimens of one or the other of the taxa) between Nobloedischia and Oedischia, 52 matched and 9 did not.

For the other oedischiids, the next closest pairing was between *Nobloedischia* and *Elcanoedischia*, with 45 of 58 character states matching. However, *Nobloedischia* differs from genera in Elcanoedischiinae Gorochov 1987 by branching of MA moderately remote from anastamosis with RP (as in Gorochov 1995: Fig. 142) rather than MA branching close to fusion with RP, with this short segment of MA appearing as a thick cross vein between MA/MA2 and RP+MA1 (as in Gorochov 1995: Fig. 144). *Nobloe-dischia* can be differentiated from genera in Tettoedischiinae Gorochov, 1987 by costal field not greatly wider than subcostal field; base of MP well basal rather than at or distal to base of RP; branching of CuPb basal rather than distal to branching of M+CuA. *Nobloedischia* differs from genera in Mezenoedischiinae by the features listed in the Diagnosis section for *Petrelcana* (vide supra). Because of the presence of these conflicting character states, at this point I prefer to leave the subfamilial assignment undetermined.

The high number of densely distributed specimens (wings and wing fragments) present on the slabs containing this species seems to support Hall's (2004) thesis that the Oklahoma Wellington Formation insect deposit facies are derived from marginal marine lagoon sediments, with insect remains likely comprised of allochthonous material washed in by streams.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to Don Arnold and Richard Grantham of Oklahoma State University for allowing me to work with the Wellington Formation specimens in their collection. Michael Engel sponsors my work through my affiliation with his Paleoentomology Laboratory. Three anonymous reviewers and the editor, Dr. Dmitry Shcherbakov, made valuable and constructive comments that significantly improved this paper. The work reported here is a contribution of the University of Kansas Natural History Museum Division of Entomology.

References

- Beckemeyer RJ (2011) A new genus and species of Orthoptera from the Lower Permian Wellington Formation of Noble County, Oklahoma, USA. Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science 114(1/2): 88–94. doi: 10.1660/062.114.0108
- Beckemeyer RJ, Hall JD (2007) The entomofauna of the Lower Permian fossil insect beds of Kansas and Oklahoma, USA. African Invertebrates 48(1): 23–39.
- Béthoux O, Beckemeyer RJ (2007) New and rare insect species from the Wellington Formation (Orthoptera, Grylloblattodea; Lower Permian, USA). Alavesia 1: 49–61.
- Béthoux O, Nel A (2001) Venation pattern of Orthoptera. Journal of Orthoptera Research 10(2): 195–198. doi: 10.1665/1082-6467(2001)010[0195:VPOO]2.0.CO;2
- Béthoux O, Nel A (2002) Venation patterns and revision of Orthoptera sensu nov. and sister groups. Phylogeny of Palaeozoic and Mesozoic Orthoptera sensu nov. Zootaxa 96: 1–88.
- Béthoux O, Nel A, Gand G, Lapeyrie J, Galtier J (2002) Discovery of the genus *Iasvia* Zalessky, 1934 in the Upper Permian of France (Lodéve basin) (Orthoptera, Ensifera, Oedischiidae). Geobios 35: 293–302.
- Brongniart C (1885) Les Insectes fossiles des terrains primaires. Coup d'œil rapide sur la faune entomologique des terrains paléozoïques. Bulletin de la Société des Amis des Sciences Naturelles de Rouen 21: 50–68.
- Carpenter FM (1943) The Lower Permian insects of Kansas. Part 9. The orders Neuroptera, Raphidiodea, Caloneurodea and Protorthoptera (Probnisidae), with additional Protodonata and Megasecoptera. Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences 75(2): 55– 84. doi: 10.2307/20023450
- Carpenter FM (1966) The Lower Permian insects of Kansas. Part 11. The orders Protorthoptera and Orthoptera. Psyche 73: 46–88.
- Carpenter FM (1992) Superclass Hexapoda. In: Kaesler RL (Ed) Treatise on invertebrate paleontology, Part R, Arthropoda 4(3/4), The Geological Society of America, Inc., and The University of Kansas Press, Boulder/Colorado and Lawrence/Kansas, xxii+655 pp.
- Fildani A, Weislogel A, Drinkwater NJ, McHargue T, Tankard A, Wooden J, Hodgson D and Flint S (2009) U-Pb zircon ages from the southwestern Karoo Basin, South Africa – Implications for the Permian–Triassic boundary. Geology 37: 719–722. doi: 10.1130/ G25685A.1
- Geertsema H, van Dijk, DE (1999) The earliest known Palaeozoic ensiferan insect from Africa, *Afroedsichia oosthuizeni* gen. et sp. nov. (Orthoptera). South African Journal of Science 95: 229–230.

- Gorochov AV (1987) Permian Orthoptera of the infraorder Oedischiidea (Ensifera). Paleontological Journal 21: 72–85.
- Gorochov AV (1995) System and Evolution of the Suborder Ensifera (Orthoptera). Proceedings of the Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences 260(Part 1), 224 pp.; (Part 2), 212 pp. [in Russian]
- Gorochov AV, Rasnitsyn AP (2002) 2.2.2.3 Superorder Gryllidea Laicharting, 1781 (= Orthopteroidea Handlirsch, 1903): 2.2.2.3.1. Order Orthoptera Olivier, 1789. The Orthopterans (=Gryllida Laicharting, 1781). In: Rasnitsyn AP, Quicke DLJ (Eds) History of Insects. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 294–301.
- Hall JD (2004) Depositional Facies and Diagenesis of the Carlton Member (Kansas) and the Midco Member (Oklahoma) of the Wellington Formation (Permian, Sumner Group). MS Thesis, Department of Geology, Wichita State University, Wichita, Kansas, viii+112 pp.
- Handlirsch A (1906–1908) Die fossilen Insekten und die Phylogenie der rezenten Formen. Ein Handbuch für Paläontologen und Zoologen. Engelman, Leipzig, 1430 pp.
- Hong Y-C (1985) Insecta. Palaeontological Atlas of North China I. Palaeozoic Volume. Geological Publishing House, Beijing, 402–510. [in Chinese]
- Martynov AV (1928) Permian fossil insects of North–East Europe. Trudy Geologicheskogo muzeya Akademii nauk SSSR 4: 1–118.
- Meng X-M, Ren D, Liang J-H (2006) Current knowledge of research on Orthoptera fossils in China. Acta Zootaxonomica Sinica 31(3): 525–529. [In Chinese with English abstract, figure captions and tables]
- Meunier F (1909) Nouveaux Insectes du Stéphanien de Commentry (Cinquième note). Bulletin du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris 15: 37–49.
- Olivier GA (1789) Encyclopédie méthodique. Dictionnaire des Insectes, Vol. 4. Pankouke, Paris, 221 pp.
- Sharov AG (1968) Filogeniya ortopteroidnykh nasekomykh. Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta Akademii Nauk SSSR 118: 1–217. [in Russian]
- Sharov AG (1971) Phylogeny of the Orthopteroidea. Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, vi+251 pp. [English translation of Sharov 1968]
- Tillyard RJ (1932) Kansas Permian insects. Part 14. The order Neuroptera. American Journal of Science, Fifth Series 23(133): 1–30.
- Tillyard RJ (1937) Kansas Permian insects. Part 17. The order Megasecoptera and additions to the Palaeodictyoptera, Odonata, Protoperlaria, Copeognatha and Neuroptera. American Journal of Science, Fifth Series 33(194): 81–110.
- Zalessky GM (1934) Sur deux reprèsentants permiens nouveaux de l'ordre des Protorthoptéres. Annales de la Sociètè Entomologique de France 103: 149–158.